FIG. 4. Qualitative phase dagram for tellurium showthe quasiphase boundin of the liquid state.

as the weighted sum of a metallic and a semiconductive component, the weight being a statistical Boltzmann factor governing the probability of the dissociation of the chain bonds. Therefore, the onset of metallic conduction can be interpreted as the temperature at which dissociation of the chain structures in the liquid has become prevalent and the liquid becomes progressively a disordered array of atoms with a metallic type conduction and bonding.

On the basis of the present study and resistance measurements on tellurium,10 it is felt that the maximum in the melting curve of tellurium is intimately connected with the above behavior and structure of liquid tellurium. Measurements of the resistance of cellurium in the liquid state at pressures above the pressure of the melting-curve maximum show that conduction is metallic in nature at temperatures just above the melting point. On the basis of the above structure model for liquid tellurium, this indicates that pressure inhibits the preservation of the chain structure pon melting. The minimum in the volume versus temperature curve for liquid tellurium at atmospheric pressure indicates that the volume occupied by the atoms in the chain structure is greater than that recupied by an equal number of atoms in the structure of metallic-type conduction. Therefore, a lowering of the characteristic temperature associated with the change to metallic bonding is exactly what is expected. This lowering is entirely equivalent to the abovementioned pressure inhibition of the temperature range in which the chain structure exists. As a consequence, it is felt that liquid tellurium undergoes a relatively ill-defined structure change which is inhibited by pressure. It now seems possible to establish the existence of a liquid state quasiphase49 boundary, qualitatively shown by the dashed line in Fig. 4. The pressure whibition of this quasitransition is responsible for a urked increase in coordination with pressure, possibly of such a nature as to yield densities of the liquid state arcater than those of the corresponding solid. This semiounducting liquid to metallic liquid quasiphase transition is thus quite possibly a factor contributing to the melting curve maximum for tellurium.

An attempt to establish an effective boundary for the iquid semiconducting phase by resistance measure-

"The statistical nature of the liquid structure change as -d cated by the work of Johnson (see Ref. 45) rules out the assibility of giving this change the status of a true phase -indary.

ments was made. Since this range of pressures and temperatures constitutes a region in which it is difficult to make measurements in our apparatus, we were unable to obtain reliable and consistent results. However, the metallic conduction of liquid tellurium at pressures above 10 kbar appears consistently.

Liquid-state studies of selenium at atmospheric pressure indicate that the chain structure is retained above the melting temperature and that liquid selenium remains a semiconductor with predominant electrical conduction by holes.^{50,51} Blum et al.⁵¹ also indicate the expectation that, at higher temperatures, liquid selenium will become metallic in nature. Because of the close similarity between the structure and properties of selenium and tellurium, it is believed that selenium will exhibit a melting-curve maximum analogous to that observed for tellurium. This maximum, if actually observed, could quite possibly be due to the dissociation of the chain structure in selenium at high pressures such as appears to be the case for tellurium.

A. von Hippel¹⁸ has pointed to the progressive crystal structure development of the Group VI B elements. Recent x-ray investigations by McWhan and Jamieson²⁰ have shown that at pressures just above 40 kbar tellurium transforms to the β -polonium structure. It therefore seems possible that pressure will serve to bring all the Group VI B elements to the same crystal structure, i.e., the metallic polonium structure. On this basis, one is tempted to predict a melting-curve maximum for sulfur. However, the situation may be more complicated for sulfur than the above argument indicates, as pressure would first, perhaps, have to induce transformation to the chain-like hexagonal structure of Se and Te before transformation to the β -polonium structure could occur.

It is interesting to note that Bi, Sb, and Ge, which have melting curves with negative slopes, undergo a decrease in resistivity ρ upon melting followed by a metallic-type conduction in the liquid state,⁵² just as tellurium does from 10 to 29 kbar. The possibility of correlation of the sign of the slope of the melting curve with the nature of the changes in resistivity upon melting is immediately apparent. Sutra, 53 and Schneider and Heymer⁵² have found that an approximately linear relationship exists between the normalized change in resistivity $(\Delta \rho / \rho)$ and the relative volume change $(\Delta V / V)$ upon melting, for a number of elements. Since the Clapeyron equation relates ΔV to the slope of the melting curve and ΔS , the obvious extension of the above correlation is a comparison of $(\Delta \rho / \rho)$ and the initial

24 5.4

o observe this bility measureoparatus. Also. and electronic lication of this ubt concerning

and properties sure have been that the chain a coordination melting point. structure, hole trons, the Hall eing positive." ber of covalent the number of ases. The Hall about 575°C. dominate the ng behavior is tivity becomes t which point re, liquid telrature depend egel47 observed resistance and perature of the perties of liquid ering its strucchain structure the chains are toms and elecfully developed ity of tellurium

ovitz, Phys. Rev 0 (1956). 55). c-Horovitz, Phys

chn. Fiz. 25, 209 50, 3028e (1956) act transl. : Chem



⁵⁰ H. W. Henkels and J. Maczuk, J. Appl. Phys. 25, 1 (1954). ⁵¹ A. I. Blum, N. P. Mokrovskii, and A. R. Regel, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. 16, 139 (1952) [English abstract transl.: Chem. Abstr. 46, 10753b (1952)].

⁵² A. Schneider and G. Heymer, in Proceedings of the National Physical Laboratory Symposium No. 9 (Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1959), Vol. II, p. 1.
⁵³ Genevieve Sutra, Compt. Rend. 234, 2589 (1952).